

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 16, 1887.

BY TELEGRAPH.

MAINE NEWS.

FROM PORTLAND.

Sudden Death of a Physician.
PORTLAND, Nov. 14.—Dr. Adam C. Hallam, of Jersey City, N. J., came here from the New York boat with his brother on a trip for his health this morning. The doctor was taken with paralysis of the heart and died at noon.

FROM LEWISTON.

Death from Falling Down Stairs.
LEWISTON, Nov. 14.—Mrs. C. A. Bean fell eight inches from injuries received three weeks ago from falling down stairs upon her return at the city building.

Local Goldminers of Lewiston, peddler of cloths and fancy goods, was robbed of \$200 worth of goods Saturday night, at East Avenue. The stable and car were broken open during the evening. Suspects are to be arrested.

FROM ELLSWORTH.

Death from Heart Disease.
ELLSWORTH, Nov. 14.—The wife of Dr. George A. Phillips, died this morning at the advanced age of about 37.

SHIPWRECK ABANDONED.

The Anna T. March, of Ellsworth.
ANNA T. MARCH, Nov. 14.—The Anna T. March, of Ellsworth, passed away from Boston with a cargo of coal and the Anna K. McKeen, of Bangor for Bridgeport and New York with a cargo of lumber, came yesterday morning between Hallowell and Cross River Shoals. The March and her deck and upper works were soon abandoned. The captain and crew were brought here last night by the Anna K. They saved nothing and were given the March's standing in the fourth deck.

NEW YORK, Nov. 14.—The schooner Anna T. March drifted ashore last night, a working crew from Chatham went aboard and the schooner was freed of weeds and started for Hyannis where she will probably arrive this afternoon.

FRANKLIN GRAND ARMY.

Formation of a New Hall at Farmington.
FARMINGTON, Nov. 14.—The fine new hall of the Grand Army Hall was dedicated yesterday evening with appropriate exercises. The hall is located in the new State Bank Block on Broadway, and is a fine addition to its every appearance. It is connected with the kitchen, dining and dressing rooms; and a canopy hangs over the roof easily accessible for storage. It is lighted with electric light, and will form most attractive headquarters for the Farmington and surrounding camps. Long distance telephone was installed with appropriate services furnished by the Ladies' Aid, the Red Cross, John F. Appleton, the Knights of Columbus, the Orphan Post and the Corps of Engineers, and Clayton Post Captain of Strong, and many interested friends from Farmington and vicinity.

Franklin Grand Army Commander H. C. Adams and the company had a following of W. Keyes, Captain George L. Jacobs of Clayton Post, Mrs. S. D. McKeon, Past Commander of the Post, Dr. E. S. Sears, Mr. A. V. Smith, Mr. Strong, H. A. B. Jones, Mr. Industry, Comrades Present, Mr. G. G. of Farmington, and Mr. G. L. of Litchfield, and others. Music was supplied by the Farmington orchestra, who were singing by Miss Norton, Mr. Howell, Mr. Howell and Mr. Gould, and concluding by Miss Mabel Sylvester. A big hand and games followed the service.

PENSIONS GRANTED.

Grant to Residents of Maine.
BOSTON, Nov. 14.—Pensions have been granted to the following residents of Maine:—W. H. Stiles, South Portland; George D. Gram, Augusta; W. H. Bean, Carroll; Mr. Sears & Son, Litchfield; David Simpson, Jr., South Portland; Charles H. Gammon, South Portland; Andrew J. Doe, Vinalhaven.

IN THE EARLY MORNING.

Two Knock With the Gloves.
NEW YORK, Nov. 14.—Frank Jewett had a knock with one Ernest E. Bechard, of New Haven, but more recently of New York, N. Y., to a boxing match for \$2000 money, they got together in a hotel at Oxford, Saturday night before 2 and 2 o'clock. The Oxford was the number of twenty-five or so present. They were to fight the Marquis of Queensbury rules. They took three rounds before the gloves got in any effective work. At the seventh round the Jewett was knocked out, and Bechard was the winner. The authorities would have stopped it if they had known he was.

LAW COLLEGE WINS.

The trial of Mrs. Sarah Belcher affirmed.
PORTLAND, Nov. 14.—The case of Nathan Belcher and others, appellants, from the court of the Judge of Probate in the instance of Stephen Belcher, late of Farmington, was argued to day on the part of the contestants by Hon. S. C. Wood, who occupied two hours and a half. H. J. W. Symonds made the closing argument for the proponents, taking a time over three hours. Judge Walton gave the case to the jury and they went out to deliberate. At 7 o'clock the jury returned with the following answer to the question which had been submitted to them:

KILLED HIS WIFE.

Terrible Result of a Random Shot by a Deaf-Mute.
CONTOCKTON, Nov. 14.—A shocking accident occurred in East Des Moines last night, by which a wife met her death at the hands of her husband. John Kelly, aged 72, lives on Second street, near the Burlington railroad track. A gang of hoodlums, who had been drinking, were attacking him and his wife, when suddenly he obtained a revolver for the purpose of scaring them away when they made their customary visit. Kelly went out the back door, intending to come around front and surprise them, and as he did so, he was shot. When Kelly turned the corner of the house he fired in the darkness and inflicted a wound up in his wife, from the effects of which she died to day.

THE SWEATERS.

War Dept., Office of Signal Officers.
WASHINGTON, D. C., November 15.—(A.M.) Indications:

For Maine and New Hampshire.
Light rain; slight change in temperature; light to fresh southerly wind, shifting to westerly.

The most effective stimulants to excite the appetite are Aperients, Bitter, and aromatic. H. C. Belcher, State Auditor, Boston, says:

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument, which purports to be a codicil to her last will and testament, by fraud or undue influence?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument, which purports to be a codicil to her last will and testament?

Answer.—No.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purporting to be the will of another?

Answer.—Yes.

Was said testatrix induced to make and execute said instrument purport

